REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable consideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-57 are pending in the application, with Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57 amended by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 5, 6, 17, 20, 24, 25, 36, 38, 39, 43, 44, and 45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada (U.S. Patent No. 6,239,837 B1); Claims 19 and 57 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada in view of Nakatani (U.S. Patent No. 5,063,459); Claims 2, 5, 6, 16, 21, 24, 25, 35, 40, 43, 44, and 45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada in view of Wakui (U.S. Patent No. 5,742,339); Claims 7-9, 11-15, 26-28, 30-34, 45-47 and 49-53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamada and Wakui in view of Yoshiura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,854,693, hereinafter Yoshiura); Claims 3, 4, 22, 23, 41, and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamada and Wakui in view of well know prior art; Claims 18, 37, and 56 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada in view of well known prior art; and Claims 10, 29, and 48 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yamada, Wakui and Yoshiura in view of Ikegaya et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,379,124, hereinafter Ikegaya).

Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57 are amended to recite that the claimed apparatus or device is configured to operate within a copier machine. Support for this amendment is found in Applicants' originally filed specification. No new matter is added.

Briefly recapitulating, amended Claim 1 is directed to an image data storing device, comprising: a plurality of storing means each for storing image data input via inputting

¹ Specification, page 8, lines 18-24.

means; transfer control means for controlling transfer of the image data between the plurality of storing means; and checking means included in the transfer control means for determining whether or not storing means included in a destination, to which the image data should be transferred, has a capacity great enough to store the image data. *The image storing device is configured to operate within a copier machine*. Independent Claims 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57 are directed to alternative embodiments of Applicants' copier memory management invention. The claimed inventions allow for more efficient copier memory management.²

Yamada discloses a multi-memory management system configured for use in a camera.³ However, Yamada does not disclose or suggest a multi-memory management system configured for use in a copier as recited in Applicants' amended independent claims. Because Yamada does not disclose or suggest all the elements of independent Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57, Applicants submit the inventions defined by Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57, and all claims depending therefrom, are not anticipated by the asserted prior art for at least the reasons stated above.⁴

Applicants have also considered the cited <u>Wakui</u>, <u>Nakatani</u>, and <u>Ikegaya</u> references and submit these references do not cure the deficiencies of <u>Yamada</u>. <u>Wakui</u>, like <u>Yamada</u>, discloses a camera memory. <u>Nakatani</u> and <u>Ikegaya</u> each disclose a facsimile machine memory. <u>Wakui</u>, <u>Nakatani</u>, and <u>Ikegaya</u> each fail to disclose or suggest a copier memory management system as recited in Applicants' independent claims.

Yoshiura discloses a copier with a memory. However, Yoshiura does not disclose or suggest a multi-memory management system for a copier as recited in Applicants' amended independent claims. Furthermore, Applicants submit there is no teaching, suggestion, or motivation, either explicitly or implicitly, in either reference to combine the camera

³ Yamada, column 6, line 32 – column 7, line 10

² Specification, page 2, lines 7-16.

⁴ MPEP § 2142 "...the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure. In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991)."

memories of <u>Yamada</u> or <u>Wakui</u> with the copier memory of <u>Yoshiura</u> to arrive at Applicants' inventions recited in Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57. Thus, Applicants submit it is only through an impermissible hindsight reconstruction of Applicants' invention that any rejection of Claims 1, 19, 20, 38, 39, and 57 based on this combination can be understood. Similarly, Applicants submit that the rejection of Applicants' claimed inventions in view of the combination of the camera memory of <u>Yamada</u> with the facsimile memory of <u>Nakatani</u> or <u>Ikegaya</u> is also based on an improper hindsight reasoning.

Applicants also submit that camera art Yamada is not analogous to the facsimile art of Nakatani and Ikegaya or the copier art of Yoshiura and, thus, for another reason, rejections based on these references is improperly based on an improper hindsight reasoning.

Accordingly, in view of the present amendment and in light of the previous discussion, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request an early and favorable action to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier
Attorney of Record

Registration No. 25,599

Michael E. Monaco

Registration No. 52,041

Customer Number

22850 el: (703) 413-300

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 GJM/MEM/kkn

I:\ATTY\MM\AMENDMENT\0557\202544us-AM.FIXED..DOC

⁵ MPEP § 2143.01 "Obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either explicitly or implicitly in the references themselves or in the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art."